



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,881	01/24/2001	Robert John Tinsley	1322/58	3806

25297 7590 01/09/2004

JENKINS & WILSON, PA
3100 TOWER BLVD
SUITE 1400
DURHAM, NC 27707

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, STEVEN H D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2665

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/768,881

Applicant(s)

TINSLEY ET AL.

Examiner

Steven HD Nguyen

Art Unit

2665

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 October 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13,16.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 16, 22, 25, 27-28, 30, 32 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shmulevich (USP 6515985) in view of Glitho (USP 6178181) and Reaves (USP 6487286).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 22, 25, 27-28, 30, 32 and 44, Shmulevich discloses (Figs 2-8 and col. 1, lines 10 to col. 14, lines 22) a distributed call signaling message routing gateway comprising a first distributed gateway routing element (Fig 6, Ref 74) including a first interface for sending SS7 call signaling messages to and receiving SS7 call signaling messages from a first SS7 network element (Fig 6, Ref 28) and for performing SS7 routing functions for the SS7 messages received from the first SS7 network element and a second interface for sending the SS7-routed messages over a virtual bus (Fig 6, Ref 76) and at least one second distributed gateway routing element (Fig 6, Ref 78) including a first interface for receiving the SS7-routed messages from the first distributed gateway routing element and a second interface for SS7-routing the received messages to a second SS7 network element (Fig 6, Ref 54) via an SS7 signaling link. However, Shmulevich fail to discloses a step of setting quality of service parameters in the SS7-routed messages sent over the virtual bus and the first and second distributed gateway routing elements are adapted to be located at geographically diverse

Art Unit: 2665

locations and to share an ss7 point code and thereby function as a geographically distributed STP associated with different SS7 signaling points. In the same field of endeavor, Glitho discloses (Figs 3-5 and col. 2, lines 5 to col. 6, lines 10) a step of setting quality of service parameters such as TTL and TOS in the SS7-routed messages sent over the virtual bus (Fig 4-5, the signaling message transmits to the second gateway from the first gateway and setting the quality of service parameters such as TTL and TOS for IP packet) and Reaves discloses the first and second distributed gateway routing elements are adapted to be located at geographically diverse locations and to share an ss7 point code and thereby function as a geographically distributed STP associated with different SS7 signaling points (Fig 2 discloses a plurality of shelves "routing gateways, 60, 62-64, 66 and 70 shared same point code PC 01" which is geographically distributed at a diverse locations and shared an SS7 point code "PC 01" in order to function as a geographically distributed STP associated with different SS7 signaling point "SSP and SCP"; See col. 6, lines 35-56 and col. 8, lines 15-47, these shelves is connected with each other and SCPs via ATM or IP).

Since, Shmulevich discloses a QOS management to set packet priorities associated with different service levels offered by the network and plurality of STP. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a gateway with SCCP-IP mapping function which is used to set the QOS parameters as disclosed by Glitho's system and distributing plurality of geographic shelves which are function as a STP having a same point code as disclosed by Reaves's system for into Shmulevich's system. The motivation would have been to obtain a high reliability way to transmit a SS7 message via data network and reduce the cost of operating a CCS system.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 2, Shmulevich discloses the first interfaces of the first and second distributed gateway routing elements include SS7 MTP layer 3 routing functions for routing SS7 messages based on SS7 point codes (Fig 8, Ref 158).

Regarding claim 3, Shmulevich discloses the first interfaces of the first and second distributed gateway routing elements are adapted to route messages based on circuit identification codes (Fig 8, Ref 186 and col. 13, lines 35-49).

Regarding claim 8, Shmulevich discloses quality of service manager processes for setting the quality of service parameters in the SS7-routed messages to be transmitted over the virtual bus (Fig 8, Ref 178).

Regarding claim 9, Shmulevich discloses the first and second distributed gateway routing elements are co-located with the first and second SS7 network elements (Fig 6, Ref 28 and 54).

Regarding claim 16, Shmulevich discloses at least one of the first and second distributed gateway routing elements are co-located with more than one SS7 network element (Fig 2, MSCs).

3. Claims 4-6, 10-15, 17-21, 23-24, 26, 29, 31, 33-43 and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho as applied to claims 1, 25 and 30 above, and further in view of Krishnamurthy (EP 1054568).

Regarding claims 10-13 and 26, Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho do not fully disclose the claimed invention. However, Krishnamurthy discloses the first and second distributed gateway routing elements are co-located with service switching points (SSPs); service control points

Art Unit: 2665

(SCPs); at least one of the first and second distributed gateway routing elements is co-located with a signal transfer point (STP) (Fig 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to place a gateway with SSP, STP, SCP as disclosed by Krishnamurthy's system into the system of Shmulevich and Glitho. The motivation would have been to obtain a high reliability way to transmit a SS7 message via data network.

Regarding claims 4-6, Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho fail to disclose the claimed invention. However, The examiner takes an official notice that a first interfaces are adapted to screen the SS7 call signaling messages based on one or more SS7 message parameters; copy the SS7 call signaling messages and forward the copies to a predetermined network monitoring or accounting node; a triggerless number portability process for identifying call signaling messages relating to calls to ported numbers and for overriding the SS7 routing functions for the call signaling messages related to calls directed to ported numbers are well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made to apply into the system of Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho. The motivation would have been to obtain a high reliability way to transmit a SS7 message via data network.

Regarding claims 14-15, Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho fail to disclose the claimed invention. However, The examiner takes an official notice that the first and second distributed gateway routing elements are co-located with a softswitch; an application server are well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made to apply into the system of Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho. The motivation would have been to obtain a high reliability way to transmit a SS7 message via data network.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 17-21, Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho fail to disclose the claimed invention. However, The examiner takes an official notice that a translation services module coupled to the first and second distributed gateway routing elements via the virtual bus for translating SS7-routed messages; the translation services module is adapted to perform global title translation services for the SS7-routed messages; directory number to Internet protocol address mapping for the SS7-routed messages; number portability translation services for the SS7-routed messages; the distributed gateway routing elements and the translation services module share a single SS7 point code and function collectively as a signal transfer point are well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made to apply these functions into the system of Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho. The motivation would have been to turn a data network into a signaling network.

Regarding claims 23-24 and 29, Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho fail to disclose an OAM server for coupling to the gateways via SNMP interface. However, The examiner takes an official notice that OAM server is well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made to apply an OAM server into the system of Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho. Since, Shmulevich suggests the SNMP interface being located at the gateway. The motivation would have been to generate an alarm signal etc.

Regarding claims 31, 33-36, 38-40, 43 and 45-46, Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho fail to disclose IP V6 and MPLS protocol for encapsulating the signaling message for transmitting via Internet with priority, label, QOS parameters. However, The examiner takes an official notice that IPV6 and MPLS are well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made to

Art Unit: 2665

apply these protocols into Shmulevich, Reavens and Glitho. The motivation would have been to a high reliability way to transmit a SS7 message via data network.

Regarding claims 37 and 41-42, Shmulevich, Reaves and Glitho fail to disclose a step of exchanging the status information between the routing elements. However, The examiner takes an official notice that a method and system for exchanging the status information between the routing element is well known and expected in the art at time of invention was made to establish or update a routing table in the routing elements. The motivation would have been to route the SS7 message via Internet.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2665

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8848. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D Vu can be reached on (703) 308-6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.



Steven HD Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2665
1/05/04